



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,178 08/03/2001		Peter C. Jones	06502.0062-02	2575	
22852	7590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			COULTER, KENNETH R		
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2141	9.4	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	, 20	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A 44 C		PP PP			
	Application No	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/891,178	JONES ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth R Coulter	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the datatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
── For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ── will not be entered or b) ── will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>27-29 and 36-38</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-26,30-35 and 39-42</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
_					
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		KENNETH R. COULTER PRIMARY EXAMINED			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims 21 - 26, 30 - 35, and 39 - 42 under 35 USC 102(e) in view of Heimsoth et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,764,915).